# ON SMOOTH, NONLINEAR SURJECTIONS OF BANACH SPACES

ΒY

# S. M. BATES\*

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University New York, NY 10027, USA e-mail: smb@math.columbia.edu

#### ABSTRACT

It is shown that (1) every infinite-dimensional Banach space admits a  $C^1$ Lipschitz map onto any separable Banach space, and (2) if the dual of a separable Banach space X contains a normalized, weakly null Banach-Saks sequence, then X admits a  $C^{\infty}$  map onto any separable Banach space. Subsequently, we generalize these results to mappings onto larger target spaces.

### Introduction

By the classical Morse-Sard theorem (see [13]), a smooth surjective mapping of one euclidean space onto another must be submersive at some point, i.e., its derivative at some point must itself be surjective. If X, Y are infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, continuous *linear* mappings of X onto Y do not exist in general; thus it is natural to ask whether X nevertheless admits a nonlinear transformation onto Y.

A special case of a theorem from [3] implies that an infinite-dimensional Banach space X admits a Lipschitz surjection onto any Banach space Y for which dens $(X) \ge dens(Y)$ . (The density character dens(V) of a metric space

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship in Mathematics. Received October 20, 1994 and in revised form April 1, 1996

V is the smallest cardinality that a dense subset of V can have.) The purpose of the present article is to establish the existence of smoother surjections under somewhat more restrictive assumptions.

THEOREM 1: Every infinite-dimensional Banach space X admits a  $C^1$  Lipschitz map onto any separable Banach space.

Recall that a weakly null sequence  $(x_j)$  in a Banach space X is called Banach-Saks if, for any subsequence  $(y_j)$  of  $(x_j)$ , the sequence of arithmetic means

$$\frac{1}{n} \Big\| \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \Big\|$$

tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . An example of a weakly null Banach-Saks sequence is provided by the standard basis of any  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  space for 1 .

THEOREM 2: If  $X^*$  contains a normalized, weakly-null Banach-Saks sequence, then X admits a  $C^{\infty}$  mapping onto any separable Banach space.

For mappings onto larger target spaces, we introduce the following terminology. Given a cardinal  $\mathcal{K}$ , we say that a Banach space X satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_1$ provided that there exists a Banach space X' which admits a  $C^1$  Lipschitz bump function, a collection  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X$  with  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{K}$ , and a bounded linear map  $T: X \to X'$  such that the vectors  $(Tx_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  are  $\varepsilon$ -separated for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We will say that a Banach space X satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$  provided that there is a normalized collection  $(x_{\alpha}^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X^*$  such that  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{K}$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{\alpha \in \Gamma : |x_{\alpha}^*(x)| > \varepsilon\} \leq k(\varepsilon)$  for each  $x \in X$  with  $||x|| \leq 1$ .

In particular, the space  $c_0(\Gamma)$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_1$  whenever  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma) \geq \mathcal{K}$ , and any superreflexive space X with dens $(X) \geq \mathcal{K}$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$ .

THEOREM 3: Let X be a Banach space, let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a cardinal number, and let Y be a Banach space with dens $(Y) \leq \mathcal{K}$ .

- 1. If X satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_1$ , then X admits a  $C^1$  Lipschitz mapping onto Y.
- 2. If X satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$ , then X admits a  $C^{\infty}$  mapping onto Y.

To place these results in perspective, we recall that Kadec [10] and Torunczyk [14] have proven that if X, Y are infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, then dens(X) = dens(Y) precisely when X is homeomorphic to Y, i.e., when there exists a continuous bijection  $X \to Y$  with continuous inverse. In general, however,

Vol. 100, 1997

211

such mappings cannot be very smooth; for example, the absence of  $C^k$  bump functions on a space X implies that there is no proper  $C^k$  map  $X \to \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ . Finally, we recall that it is presently unknown whether every infinite-dimensional Banach space admits a continuous linear map onto a separable infinitedimensional Banach space (see [11, p. 12]).

The surjections  $f: X \to Y$  constructed in the proofs of our theorems satisfy rank $(Df) \leq 1$  at all points of X (compare [1, 4]). This rank restriction, although interesting, is evidently not a necessary condition for smooth surjections per se; nevertheless it reflects the fact that the Fréchet derivative of any smooth mapping between certain Banach spaces must be highly singular at each point. For example, it is well-known that for 1 , every bounded linear $operator <math>\ell_r \to \ell_p$  is compact and thus approximable in the norm topology by finite rank operators. Consequently, no smooth surjection  $\ell_r \to \ell_p$  is significantly more "efficent" (in the sense of [2], for example) than the rank-1 map given by Theorem 3.

The proof of Theorem 3(1) extends our previous use of bump functions to construct smooth surjections in [4]. To prove Theorem 3(2), we develop in Section 1 a suitable replacement for such functions using the geometric requirement of condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$ . The main proof follows in Section 2, and we derive Theorems 1 and 2 from Theorem 3 in Section 3. We conclude with some examples in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, all Banach spaces under consideration are assumed to be real and infinite-dimensional. For a Banach space X we denote by  $B_X(r)$  the ball of radius r centered at the origin of X. For a set  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $c_0(\Gamma)$ the Banach space of all functions  $\gamma: \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{\alpha \in \Gamma: |\gamma(\alpha)| > \varepsilon\}$  is finite. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we denote by  $\ell_p(\Gamma)$  we denote the space of p-summable elements of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  with norm  $||\gamma|| = (\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} |\gamma(\alpha)|^p)^{1/p}$ . Finally, we use the notation  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  and N to distinguish between the positive and non-negative integers, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I am happy to thank Y. Benyamini, W. Johnson, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal for their generous advice and encouragement throughout the preparation of this work.

# 1. Condition $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$ for Banach spaces

Consider a Banach space X with dual X\* endowed with the standard dual norm. A subset  $(x_{\alpha}^{*})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X^{*}$  is said to be **semi-normalized** if  $0 < \inf_{\alpha} ||x_{\alpha}^{*}|| \leq \sup_{\alpha} ||x_{\alpha}^{*}|| < \infty$  and **normalized** if  $||x_{\alpha}^{*}|| = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Throughout this section, we will assume that X satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$  and let  $(x_{\alpha}^{*})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X^{*}$  be a normalized collection with the property that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a number  $k(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{\alpha \in \Gamma : |x_{\alpha}^{*}(x)| > \varepsilon\} \leq k(\varepsilon)$  for all  $x \in B_{X}(1)$ . The starting point for our construction will be the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.1: For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist a subset  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$  and a semi-normalized collection  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma'} \subset X$  such that

1.  $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma') = \mathcal{K},$ 

2. 
$$x^*_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) = 1$$
 and  $|x^*_{\alpha}(x_{\beta})| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma'$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

*Proof:* Let  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  be any semi-normalized collection satisfying  $x_{\alpha}^{*}(x_{\alpha}) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we define

$$S_{\alpha} = \{ \beta \in \Gamma : \beta \neq \alpha \text{ and } |x_{\beta}^*(x_{\alpha})| \ge \varepsilon \}.$$

By assumption, there exists an integer K > 0 such that  $\operatorname{card}(S_{\alpha}) \leq K$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . For any subset  $J \subset \Gamma$ , we set  $S_J = \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} S_{\alpha}$ . We will call a subset  $I \subset \Gamma$  good provided that  $I \cap S_I = \emptyset$ . Evidently, each singleton  $\{\alpha\} \subset \Gamma$  is good, and the union of an increasing nested sequence of good sets is good. By Zorn's lemma, there exist maximal good subsets of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $I_0$  be any maximal good subset of  $\Gamma$ , and, proceeding inductively, let  $I_k$  be a maximal good subset of

$$\Gamma \smallsetminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} I_i$$

for k = 1, ..., K. A good subset  $I \subset \Gamma$  is maximal precisely when  $I \cap S_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ for all  $\alpha \notin I \cup S_I$ . Since  $\operatorname{card}(S_J) \leq \operatorname{card}(J)$  for any infinite  $J \subset \Gamma$ , it follows that either  $\Gamma \smallsetminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{K} (I_i \cup S_{I_i})$  or at least one of the  $I_i$  is a good subset of  $\Gamma$  with cardinality  $\mathcal{K}$ , which we can take as  $\Gamma'$ .

The basic cube  $Q \subset X$  corresponding to the collection  $(x^*_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is defined as the set

$$Q = \{ x \in X \colon |x_{\alpha}^{*}(x)| \le 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \}.$$

It is easy to check that our condition on the  $x^*_{\alpha}$  requires that Q be an unbounded subset of X.

Vol. 100, 1997

Next, we associate a smooth function  $h: X \to \mathbb{R}$  to  $(x_{\alpha}^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  as follows. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a fixed  $C^{\infty}$  function such that  $\varphi = 1$  on [-1, 1] and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  when  $|x| \ge 2$ . Define

$$h(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \varphi(x_{\alpha}^*(x)).$$

By the property satisfied by the  $x_{\alpha}^*$ , only finitely many terms in the above product differ from 1 locally, and so h is well-defined and smooth. Note furthermore that h = 1 on the basic cube Q, while h(x) = 0 if  $|x_{\alpha}^*(x)| \ge 2$  for any  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Additionally, the derivatives of the function h are bounded on bounded subsets of X. More precisely:

LEMMA 1.2: The function h defined above has the property that for each  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $C^m$  norm of  $h|_{B_X(n)}$  is bounded.

*Proof:* Since the collection  $(x^*_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is normalized, any linear map from X into euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  of the form

$$x \mapsto (x_{\alpha_1}^*(x), x_{\alpha_2}^*(x), \dots, x_{\alpha_k}^*(x))$$

has norm  $\leq \sqrt{k}$  regardless of the choice of  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$ . Now fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and note that for any  $x \in B_X(n)$ , our choice of the  $x_{\alpha}^*$  implies that

 $\operatorname{card}\{\alpha \in \Gamma \colon |x^*(x)| \ge 1\} = \operatorname{card}\{\alpha \in \Gamma \colon |x^*(x/n)| \ge 1/n\} \le k(1/n).$ 

From the equicontinuity of the collection  $(x_{\alpha}^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ , it follows that each  $x \in B_X(n)$  has a neighborhood U such that for all but at most k(1/n) indices  $\alpha$ , we have  $\sup_U |x_{\alpha}^*| \leq 1$ .

Now define  $g_k: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $g_k(y_1, \ldots, y_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi(y_i)$ . From the preceding remarks, it follows that on the ball  $B_X(n) \subset X$ , the function h can be represented locally as the composition of a linear map  $X \to \mathbb{R}^{k(1/n)}$  of norm  $\leq \sqrt{k(1/n)}$  with the function  $g_{k(1/n)}$ . Since  $g_{k(1/n)}$  has bounded support, its  $\mathbb{C}^m$ -norm is bounded and our assertion follows.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 3

In this section we give a detailed proof of Theorem 3(2) and sketch the similar and easier proof of Theorem 3(1).

S. M. BATES

Proof of Theorem 3(2): Let X be a Banach space and  $(x^*_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X^*$  a normalized collection such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a number  $k(\varepsilon)$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{card}\{\alpha \in \Gamma : |x_{\alpha}^{*}(x)| > \varepsilon\} \le k(\varepsilon)$$

for all  $x \in B_X(1)$ . By Lemma 1.1, we may furthermore assume that there exists a collection  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X$  such that

$$x^*_lpha(x_lpha) = 1 \quad ext{ and } \quad |x^*_eta(x_lpha)| < rac{1}{5}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Finally, we note that X satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 3(2) whenever one of its quotient spaces does. Thus, we may assume that the collection  $(x_{\alpha}^*)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is separating, i.e.,

$$\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Gamma}\ker(x_{\alpha}^*)=0.$$

Let Y be a Banach space with dens(Y)  $\leq \operatorname{card}(\Gamma)$ . To prove the theorem, we will explicitly construct a  $C^{\infty}$  surjection  $X \to Y$ . Set  $M = \sup_{\alpha} ||x_{\alpha}|| \geq 1$ , fix a positive constant  $\varepsilon < (8M)^{-1}$ , and let  $T_{\alpha} \colon X \to X$  be the affine dilation  $T_{\alpha}(x) = \varepsilon (x + 5x_{\alpha})$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . By our choice of  $\varepsilon$ , the cubes  $Q_{\alpha} = T_{\alpha}(Q)$ , where Q is the basic cube defined in Section 1, are then pairwise disjoint and lie in the interior of Q. Let  $Q_0 = \{Q\}$ , and for  $k \geq 1$ , define collections  $Q_k$  of subcubes within Q by

$$\mathcal{Q}_k = \{ T_\alpha Q' \colon \alpha \in \Gamma, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_{k-1} \}.$$

By a chain of cubes we will mean a sequence  $(Q^i)$  such that  $Q^i \in Q_i$  and  $Q^{i+1} \subset Q^i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $x, x' \in Q^i$ , our definitions imply that  $|x_{\alpha}^*(x-x')| \leq 2\varepsilon^i$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , and thus  $\bigcap_i Q^i$  consists of at most one element for each chain  $(Q^i)$ . To see that every such intersection is indeed nonempty, note that the relation  $Q^i = T_{\alpha_0}T_{\alpha_1}\cdots T_{\alpha_{i-1}}Q$  defines a bijective correspondence between chains and integer sequences  $(\alpha_i) \in \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$ . In terms of this correspondence, we have  $5 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon^i x_{\alpha_{i-1}} \in Q^k$  for each k; by our choice of  $\varepsilon$ , this series converges in norm to a vector in  $B_X(1)$ .

Let  $h_{\alpha} = h \circ T_{\alpha}^{-1}$ , where the function  $h: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as in Section 1. Each of the  $h_{\alpha}$  is then smooth, and their supports are disjoint and lie within Q. Choose a dense subset  $(y_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  in the unit ball of Y and define

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} h_{\alpha}(x) \cdot y_{\alpha}.$$

Clearly f is  $C^{\infty}$ ,  $f(Q_{\alpha}) = y_{\alpha}$ . Moreover, Lemma 1.2 implies that the  $\mathbf{C}^{k}$  norm of  $f|_{B_{X}(n)}$ , denoted  $|f|_{k,n}$ , is finite for every choice of  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we may choose a sequence of positive constants  $(\delta_{k})$  such that  $\sum_{k} \delta_{k} \varepsilon^{-k^{2}} |f|_{k,4\varepsilon^{-k}}$  converges.

Next, let  $\mathcal{U}_k$  denote the union of all members of  $\mathcal{Q}_k$ , and define a sequence of mappings  $g_k: X \to Y$  by setting  $g_0 = f$ ,

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} g_{k-1}(x) & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{U}_k \\ (S \circ f \circ T^{-1})(x) & \text{if } x \in Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_k \end{cases}$$

where S is the affine dilation mapping  $B_Y(1)$  onto the ball  $B_Y(p, \delta_k)$  of radius  $\delta_k$ centered at  $p = g_{k-1}(Q')$ , and T now denotes the affine map sending the cube Q onto Q'. (Note that since f is by definition constant on each  $Q \in Q_1$ , it follows that for every k, the map  $g_{k-1}$  is constant on each  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_k$ .)

We claim that the mappings  $g_k$  converge to a  $C^{\infty}$  mapping  $X \to Y$ . By construction, every point of  $X \setminus \bigcap_k \mathcal{U}_k$  has a neighborhood on which all but finitely many of the  $g_k$  coincide; since  $\bigcap_k \mathcal{U}_k \subset B_X(1)$ , our claim reduces to proving convergence on  $B_X(2)$ . To this end, note that since  $B_X(2) \subset T(B_X(4\varepsilon^{-k}))$ , we have the estimate

$$|g_k - g_{k-1}|_{k,2} \le \delta_k \, \varepsilon^{-k^2} |f|_{k,4\varepsilon^{-k}}$$

and by our choice of scaling constants  $\delta_k$ , the sequence  $(g_k)$  must  $C^{\infty}$ -converge on  $B_X(2)$  to a smooth map  $g: B_X(2) \to Y$ . Consequently, the map  $g = \lim g_k$  is defined and smooth on all of X. Additionally, boundedness of  $|g|_{1,R}$  for all R > 0implies that g is Lipschitz on bounded subsets of X. (Since the basic cube Q is unbounded, g does not have bounded support and is not Lipschitz on all of X).

To see that g maps onto  $B_Y(1)$ , first note that for each  $Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_{k-1}$ , the set

$$\{g(\partial Q''): Q'' \in \mathcal{Q}^k \text{ and } Q'' \subset Q'\}$$

is dense in  $B_Y(p, \delta_k)$ , where  $p = g(\partial Q')$ . Given  $y \in B_Y(1)$ , we apply this observation inductively to choose a chain  $(Q^i)$  such that for each i,  $|y-p_i| \leq \delta_{i+1}$ , where  $p_i = g(\partial Q^i)$ . If x is the unique element of the intersection  $\bigcap_i Q^i$ , then by continuity g(x) = y.

In order to map X onto all of Y, first observe that g = 0 outside of Q. For any fixed  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the mappings  $f_n(x) = n \cdot g(x - 4nx_\alpha)$  have pairwise disjoint supports and map onto  $B_Y(n)$ , respectively. Thus, the map  $F: X \to Y$  given by  $F = \sum_n f_n$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  surjection. S. M. BATES

Proof of Theorem 3(1) (Sketch): Suppose that X is a Banach space satisfying condition  $(\mathcal{K})_1$ , so that there exist a bounded collection  $(z_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X$ , a Banach space X' with  $C^1$  Lipschitz bump functions, and a bounded linear map  $T: X \to$ X' such that the vectors  $T(z_{\alpha})$  are 1-separated in X'. Choose r > 1 such that  $||Tz_{\alpha}|| < r/2$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Using any  $C^1$  Lipschitz bump functions on X' it is easy to construct a  $C^1$  Lipschitz function  $s: X' \to \mathbb{R}$  such that s = 1 on  $B_X(r)$  and s(x) = 0 whenever |x| > R for some R > r. Fix a positive constant  $\varepsilon < (2R)^{-1}$ and for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  let  $L_{\alpha}: X' \to X'$  be the affine map  $L_{\alpha}(x) = \varepsilon x + Tz_{\alpha}$ .

Given any Banach space Y with dens(Y)  $\leq \mathcal{K}$ , we can proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3(2), substituting the  $Tz_{\alpha}$  for the  $x_{\alpha}$ , the function s for h, the  $L_{\alpha}$  for the  $T_{\alpha}$ , etc., to obtain a  $C^1$  map  $g: X' \to Y$  which transforms the set of vectors of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i Tz_{\alpha_{i-1}} = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^i z_{\alpha_{i-1}}\right)$  for  $\alpha_{i-1} \in \Gamma$  onto a ball in Y. (The Lipschitz property of s is needed to insure the  $C^1$  convergence of the  $g_k$  constructed as before.) Moreover, g has bounded support and is therefore Lipschitz on X', so that the composition  $(g \circ T): X \to Y$  is a  $C^1$  Lipschitz map onto a neighborhood of the origin in Y.

If  $w \in X$  is any vector satisfying ||Tw|| = 1, then the mappings

$$f_n(x) = n \cdot (g \circ T) \left( \frac{x - 4^n R w}{n} \right)$$

are  $C^1$ , have the same Lipschitz constant as  $g \circ T$ , and have pairwise disjoint supports. Since  $Y = \bigcup_n f_n(X)$ , the function  $F = \sum_n f_n$  is a  $C^1$  Lipschitz surjection  $X \to Y$ .

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

By the Joseffson-Nissenzweig theorem, every infinite-dimensional Banach space admits a non-compact bounded linear map into  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . Since  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  admits a  $C^1$ bounded bump function, it follows that any infinite-dimensional Banach space satisfies condition  $(\aleph_0)_1$ , and so Theorem 3(1) implies Theorem 1.

Next, we show that the case  $\mathcal{K} = \aleph_0$  of Theorem 3(2) is similarly equivalent to Theorem 2. The following argument was given to me by E. Odell and H. Rosenthal during a visit to U. T. Austin in April, 1993. We begin with a useful corollary of Ramsey's theorem (see [5]). Vol. 100, 1997

LEMMA 3.1: For any semi-normalized Banach-Saks sequence  $(x_j)$  in a Banach space X there exists  $(\lambda_n) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(y_j) \subset (x_j)$  such that for any  $n \leq m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_n$ ,

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n y_{m_j}\right\| \le n\lambda_n.$$

LEMMA 3.2: A Banach space X satisfies condition  $(\aleph_0)_{\infty}$  if and only if X<sup>\*</sup> contains a normalized, weakly null Banach-Saks sequence.

**Proof:** Suppose  $X^*$  contains a normalized, weakly null Banach-Saks sequence  $(x_j^*)$ , and choose  $(\lambda_j) \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$  and a subsequence  $(y_j^*) \subset (x_j^*)$  as in Lemma 3.1. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose n such that  $\lambda_n < \varepsilon$  and set  $k(\varepsilon) = 3n$ . Now suppose  $x \in B_X(1)$  contradicts this choice, so that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\operatorname{card} \{ j \colon |y_j^*(x)| \geq \varepsilon \} > k(\varepsilon).$$

Then this set contains n distinct indices  $m_j > n$  such that the corresponding numbers  $y_{m_j}^*(x)$  have the same sign. This implies

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^n y_{m_j}^*\right\| \ge n\varepsilon > n\lambda_n.$$

On the other hand, our choice of  $(y_j^*)$  and  $(\lambda_j)$  requires that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^n y^*_{m_j}\Big\| < n\lambda_n$$

and we arrive at a contradiction. Thus, X satisfies condition  $(\aleph_0)_{\infty}$ .

To prove the converse, let  $(x_j^*)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset X^*$  be a normalized sequence with the property that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k(\varepsilon)$  such that for any  $x \in B_X(1)$ , we have card $\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+: |x_j^*(x)| > \varepsilon\} \le k(\varepsilon)$ . If  $(x_j^*)$  is not weakly null, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda \in B_{X^{**}}(1)$  such that  $|\lambda(x_j^*)| > 2\varepsilon$  for infinitely many indices j. By Goldstine's theorem, there then exists vector  $x \in B_X(1)$  such that  $|x_j^*(x)| \ge \varepsilon$ for more than  $k(\varepsilon)$  indices  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , which contradicts the definition of  $k(\varepsilon)$ .

Next, fix an arbitrary subsequence  $(y_i^*)$  of  $(x_i^*)$  and define

$$\beta_n = \bigg\| \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^* \bigg\|.$$

$$\Big|\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^*(x)\Big| \le n\varepsilon + k(\varepsilon),$$

and so

$$\beta_n \le n\varepsilon + k(\varepsilon)$$

Consequently,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\beta_n}{n}\leq\varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $(y_i^*)$  were arbitrary, the sequence  $(x_i^*)$  is Banach-Saks.

### 4. Concluding remarks

In this section, we focus on some separable spaces illustrating the scope of our construction. Reflexive spaces whose duals possess the Banach-Saks property (see [5]) provide a large class of examples satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2. These include all reflexive *B*-convex spaces and the dual of any reflexive stable space. It is interesting to note that in fact condition  $(\mathcal{K})_{\infty}$  can be checked directly in any super-reflexive space of density character  $\mathcal{K}$  using the theorem of Guarii-Guarii [8] and James [9] and the existence of (transfinite) basic sequences. Finally, Theorem 2 applies to the James space and its dual, as well as the Tsirelson space, the Schreier space (see [6]), and  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ .

Turning to pathologies, we note that a dichotomy due to Rosenthal [12] (see [5, Prop. 2, p. 58]) implies

COROLLARY 4.1: Let X be a separable, infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then X fails the hypotheses of Theorem 2 if and only if at least one of the following holds:

- 1. Every semi-normalized weakly null sequence in  $X^*$  has a subsequence with a spreading model isomorphic to  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ .
- 2.  $X^*$  has the Schur property.

Case (1) of this corollary is illustrated by  $X^* = T$ , the Tsirelson space, and thus our construction does not apply to every reflexive Banach space. Similarly, the sequence space  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  fails Theorem 2 since its dual  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  has the Schur property. It would be interesting to determine whether there exist separable spaces X, Y such that the image of every smooth map  $X \to Y$  has empty interior. For example, an answer to the following question appears to be unknown

QUESTION 4.2: Does there exist a  $C^{\infty}$  map of  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  onto an open subset of the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ ?

#### References

- S. M. Bates, A smooth surjective rank-1 endomorphism of a Hilbert space, International Mathematics Research Notices 6 (1991), 71-73.
- S. M. Bates, On the image size of singular maps II., Duke Mathematical Journal
  68 (1992), 463-476.
- [3] S. M. Bates, Lipschitz mappings of Banach-Carnot groups, preprint, 1995.
- [4] S. M. Bates and C. C. Pugh, Super singular surjections, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, to appear.
- [5] B. Beauzamy and J.-T. Lapresté, Modèles étalés des espaces de Banach, Travaux en Cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984.
- P. Casazza and T. Shura, *Tsirelson's space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1363, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [7] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Longman Scientific, 1993.
- [8] V. I. Gurarii and N. I. Gurarii, On bases in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces, Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR 35 (1971), 210–215.
- R. C. James, Superreflexive spaces with bases, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 41 (1972), 409-419.
- M. I. Kadec, On topological equivalence of separable Banach spaces, Doklady Adakemii Nauk SSSR 162 (1965), 23-25; English translation: Soviet Mathematics Doklady 7 (1966) 319-322.
- [11] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [12] H. Rosenthal, Weakly independent sequences and the Banach-Saks property, The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 8 (1976), 22-24.
- [13] S. Sternberg, Lectures on Differential Geometry, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
- [14] H. Torunczyk, Characterizing Hilbert space topology, Fundamenta Mathematicae 111 (1981), 247–262.

 Y. Yomdin, Surjective mappings whose differential is nowhere surjective, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 111 (1991), 267–270.